Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/051,608	MCCANN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thao T. Tran	1711
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Thao T. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) Nanette Thomas.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 December 2003.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 4 and 5.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Examiner called to inform Applicants that a supplemental Office action will be sent to Applicants to include the rejection of claims 4-5 over Okuda in view of Blackwelder in paragraph 9. These claims have been inadvertantly left out in the Office Action of 12/17/03.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
	ł	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required